WORK PERFORMANCE RATING - INMATE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE **FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS**

Inr	nate's Name Register No. Unit			
	Deharo, Jose 70075-054 5 North			
Ev	aluation Period Work Assignment			
Cp	tember 1,2006 - Present Unit SN ORDERLY			
Wi O	nus Justification Ilm Dehano is an outstanding orderly. Ilm Dehan volunteers to assist hers in there duties in Addition to his own. Ilm Dehano Always performs his dutition to being told, his AREA of responsibility is Always in inspection order. Outstandard order.			
Sig	gnature and Date of Dept. Head Approval T. Kaufman, Counselor 5 North			
	Route to Dept. Head for Review, Then to Unit Team			
tne	tructions: Check the best statement in each area. Base your rating on the inmate's overall performance for rating period—neither the inmate's best day nor worst day—as compared to what is expected of a isfactory worker in the assignment.			
A.	QUALITY OF WORK 1. Unsatisfactory. Makes more errors than should for this level of training. Work must be redone.			
	☐ 2. Fair. Careless; makes mistakes and does not check work. Should do better work.			
	☐ 3. Satisfactory. Makes some mistakes but no more than expected at this level.			
	4. Good. Makes fewer mistakes than most inmates at this level of training. Does Journeyman level work.			
	5. Outstanding. Does superior work			
B.	QUANTITY OF WORK 1. Unsatisfactory. Lazy, wastes time, goofs off.			
	\square 2. Fair. Does just enough to get by. Has to be prodded occasionally.			
	☐ 3. Satisfactory. Works steadily but does not push self.			
	4. Good. Willing Worker. Does a full day's work and wastes little time.			
	5. Outstanding. Drives self exceptionally hard all the time.			
C.	INITIATIVE ☐ 1. Unsatisfactory. Always waits to be told what to do. Needs help getting started.			
	☐ 2. Fair. Usually relies on others to say what needs to be done.			
	☐ 3. Satisfactory. Can adapt to changes in routine. Will start work without waiting to be told.			
	☐ 4. Good. Can plan own work well. Acts on own in most things. Doesn't wait to be told what to do.			
	5. Outstanding. Has good ideas on better ways of doing things.			
D.	INTEREST; EAGERNESS TO LEARN			
	1. Poor. Shows no interest in job. Regards job as a drag or waste of time.			
	2. Fair. Shows minimal interest but not very eager to learn.			

BP.	-E324	24 (Continued))6-cr-00453-KMK Document 22-5	Filed 10/30/2007 Page 3 of 8		
	□ 3.	3. Satisfactory. Shows average amount of interest. V extra effort.	Vants to learn own job but does not put forth		
	□ 4.	 Good. Above-average interest in job. Asks questic extra work to improve skills. 	ons about own work and related work. May do		
	D 5.	5. Outstanding. Eager to master job. Wants to know oup on own time or volunteer to do things that will im	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
E.	ABIL	ABILITY TO LEARN			
	□ 1.	 Poor. Has very low aptitude and is very slow to lea learn, no matter how hard trying. 	rn. Even when given extra instruction unable to		
	□ 2.	2. Fair. Slow but if tries eventually will pick up the skil	ls. Needs more instructions than most.		
	□ 3.	Average. No slower and no faster to learn than mo instruction.	st inmates. Requires average amount of		
		4. Good. Learns rapidly. Good memory. Rarely mak	es the same mistake twice.		
	▼ 5.	 Outstanding. Very quick to learn. Excellent memo inmates assigned here. Never makes the same mi 			
F.	NEE	EED FOR SUPERVISION; DEPENDABILITY; SAFETY;	CARE OF EQUIPMENT		
	1.	 Needs constant supervision. If left unsupervised w Undependable. 	ill foul up, get in trouble, or wander off.		
	□ 2	2. Needs closer supervision than most. Not very dep	endable.		
	□ 3	 Average. Can be relied on for certain things but median dependable. 	ust be supervised by others. Usually prompt and		
	□ 4	4. Needs little supervision. Good record of dependab	ility and promptness.		
	1 5	5. No supervision required. Completely dependable i	n all things.		
G.		RESPONSE TO SUPERVISION AND INSTRUCTION 1. Poor. Resentful and hostile. May argue with supervisor.			
	□ 2	2. Fair. Resists or ignores suggestions.			
	□ 3	3. Satisfactory. Generally does what is told without a	ny fuss.		
	□ 4	4. Good. No hostility or resentment. Tries to improve	e.		
	<u>u</u> 5	5. Outstanding. Makes a real effort to please the inst	ructor. Does exactly as is told.		
Н.	ΛRII	BILITY TO WORK WITH OTHERS			
п.		1. Poor. Negativistic, hostile, annoying to others.			
	□ 2] 2. Fair. Doesn't make friends easily. Has some inter	personal difficulties.		
	□ 3	3. Satisfactory. Gets along OK with most co-workers	and is accepted by them.		
	□ 4] 4. Good Friendly, congenial, helpful; others like to wo	rk with.		
	v 5	5. Outstanding. Gets along well with everyone. Very	popular.		
ı.	OVE	VERALL JOB PROFICIENCY			
	Base	ases on this inmate's overall performance during this wo ours in the community would you:	rk period, if this inmate was an employee of		
	□ 1] 1. Fire or lay off that individual?			
		2. Transfer the person to a less demanding job at a le	ower pay scale?		
	<u> </u>	3. Continue to employ the person but without a raise	or promotion this time?		
	□ 4] 4. Raise the person's pay but keep the person at the	same job?		
		5. Promote the person to a more demanding job at a			

RAMIRO NENENDEZ. I.D 70522-004. M.D.C. 80-29 STREET. BROOKIYN, NY, 11232.

MAYO 06, 2007.

Honorable Kenneth M. Karas United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District OF New York. 500 Pearl Street New York, NY, 10007.

Honorable We3 KARA,

Mi Nombre ES RAMIRO

MENENDES, YO ME ENCUENTRO DETENIDO EN El

CENTRO DE DENTENCION DE BIROOKIYM. YO SOY

El head-Orderly de mi unidad, Quinto Norte, y

le estay Esoribiendo ESTA humilde CARTA EN

REFERENCIA Sobre EL SENOR LOSE, I. De HARO.

YO LO CONORDO DESDE CASI UN ANO Y DESDE

ENTONCE El SE A INVOLVERADO EN AYUDAR EN LA

LA UNIDAD Y COMPARTE CON NOSOTRO EN El GRUPO

CLE CRACION DEI ROSARIO. SI TUVIERA QUE DESDRIBIRLE

Al SEÑOR LEMARO LE DIRAS QUE ES UNA PERSONA

TRADAJACIORA, HUMILDE Y DE UN GRAN CORARON.

POR EXPERIENCIA PROPIA SE QUE ES
SENTRE COMPROMETIDO CON LA SANGRE Y NO
PODER DECIRLE UN NO ROTUNDO POR EL
TEMOR AL DEGAPROUDO DE LA FAMILIA, Y
ESTO ES POR 10 QUE hA PASADO EL SEÑOR
DEHARO.
YO SIENTO A PENARO VERDADERAMENTE
ARREPENTIDO POR SU ERROR hECHO EN SU VICA.
SIN MASA QUE REFERIRME ME DESPIDO
QUE DIOS LA BENDIGA.

SINCERAMENTE Y REPETOGAMENTE SUYO.

RAMINZO MENEROLES

Las Varas, Nayarit. July 25, 2007

Re: Jose Isidro De Haro Rodriguez

Through the following letter we are offering employment at the Campaign for Vegetable Health as a VERIFIER in the points of review. The works hours are from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday with a monthly salary of \$8,000 pesos.

I say good-bye to you and hope our offer is favorable.

Enrique Mora Aguirre Coordinator of the Cochinilla Rosada Campaign



COMME ESTATAL DE SANIDAD VEGETAL EN NAYART

COMITÉ DIRECTIVO PRESIDENTE

C Filteria Samirez Moreno. SECSETARIO

C. Leopardo Rodríguez Meza. TESORERO

MVZ. Alberto Ramos Damien. 1er. VOCAL

- C. José Centeño Zepeda. 260, VOCAL
- C. Rubén Bermúdez Piña Ber VOCAL

C. Alforiso Contreres López.

SECRETARIO GENERAL

ing Aristeo Gradilla Mejia

LAS VARAS, NAYARIT, A 25 DE JULIO DEL 2007.

C: JOSE ISIDRO DE HARO RODRIGUEZ

Por medio de la presente le ofrecemos empleo en la Campaña de Sanidad Vegetal con el puesto de VERIFICADOR en los puntos de revisión, con un horario de trabajo de 7:00 a.m. a 3:00 p.m. de Lunes a Sábado con un salario mensual de \$ 8,000.00 (ocho mil pesos).

Me despido de usted esperando le sea favorable nuestro ofrecimiento.

ATENTAMENTE

Enrique Mora Aguirre Coordinador de la Campaña Cochinilla Rosada